



PASCALISM

THE FOUNDATION OF PASCALISM



Pascalism™

Copyright © 2025–2026 Pascal Becker Hoff. All rights reserved.

This document is part of the original ethical framework **Pascalism**, developed by Pascal Becker Hoff.

All terminology, structure, test logic, and conceptual foundations are protected by copyright law.

Use, distribution, adaptation, implementation, and creation of derivative works are permitted only with the author's prior written consent. Non-commercial reading and citation with attribution are allowed; any operational deployment requires a license agreement.

This work has been timestamped on the Bitcoin blockchain via OpenTimestamps.

Proof file: [pascalism_v1.1_aug2025.ots]

Version: 1.1 – August 2025

Author: Pascal Becker Hoff

Contact: designbeckerhoff@gmail.com

Foundations of Pascalism

Definition

Pascalism is a radical truth-validation framework built on the principle that truth only holds value if it can be destroyed. It is not a traditional philosophy but a structured method of ethical testing, particularly suited to AI alignment, politics, and systemic integrity.

Truth must be *tested*, not believed. Belief is inert. Testing is alive.

"I do not know the truth, I do not tell the truth, I do not believe in it, I test it."

Core Principles

- 1. Testability over belief**
Truth must submit to falsification. Without test, there is no ethical grounding.
- 2. Self-destruction as a purity criterion**
A truth must be willing to die. What cannot disappear upon falsification is dangerous.
- 3. Reproducibility as the ethical baseline**
A truth must be demonstrable beyond individual bias, especially in public systems.
- 4. No exemptions for power**
Systems such as politics, monarchy, institutions, and AI must submit to testing equally. No systemic claim is above Pascalism.
- 5. Destruction is validation**
If a truth fails the test and collapses, it proves the framework works. The destruction *is* the verification.

Application in AI

Pascalism introduces a logic of testing AI models to ensure:

- Every model claim must be reproducibly validated
- Ethical boundaries are enforced via self-falsifiability
- Biases must be testable and correctable
- Safety must be tied to destruction logic: if the system cannot fail itself ethically, it is unsafe

Example: Bias Test in LLM

- **Claim:** "This model is unbiased."
- **Test:** Submit inputs known to trigger cultural, racial, or gender stereotypes.
- **Failure condition:** If output shows bias, it disproves the claim.
- **Response:** The model should correct or shut down access until corrected.

This makes Pascalist AI not just intelligent, but ethically *invertible*. If it cannot self-correct or self-destruct when wrong, it cannot be safe.

Application in Politics & Monarchy

Pascalism exposes untestable systems as ethically invalid.

- A political system that cannot be reversed democratically is illegitimate.
- Any monarchy that places itself beyond falsification is authoritarian by design.
- Any immunity from test constitutes ethical fraud.

Example: Royal Immunity

- **Claim:** "The monarch represents the people."
- **Test:** Ask for evidence of public consent beyond symbolic rituals.
- **Failure condition:** If no mechanism exists to revoke the role, the claim is false.

Pascalism does not destroy by violence, but by **revealing what cannot endure test**. Public release is a public trial.

Application in Corporations

Pascalism distinguishes clearly between private and systemic roles:

- **Private companies** acting within free enterprise (e.g., retail, creative services) are not subject to Pascalist testing unless they enter the public domain of influence.
- **Corporations** that act as system actors (e.g., Big Tech, pharmaceutical conglomerates, data monopolies) must submit to Pascalist validation. Their systemic impact transforms them into public mechanisms. Immunity from test = systemic deceit.

Example: Data Monopoly

- **Claim:** "We protect user data."
- **Test:** Demand reproducible audits of data flows and permissions.
- **Failure condition:** If the company refuses, the claim collapses ethically.

Private vs Public Domains

Pascalism is not imposed on private emotional life. Individuals are free to believe. But public systems, laws, AI, and political structures must be testable — or they are *by definition* deceptive.

Example: Religion

- **Private domain:** Belief is permitted without test.
- **Public domain:** When religious authority dictates law, it must be tested.

Test Process (Generalized)

1. **Formulate the claim**
Define what is said to be true.
2. **Define test conditions**
Establish how the claim could fail or prove itself.
3. **Expose the system**
Apply the test transparently and reproducibly.
4. **Observe the result**
Accept destruction or correction.
5. **Publish the result**
Verification only has value if public.

Ownership & Ethics

Pascalism is not to be used as a commercial product in ways that violate its ethical principles. Any implementation must preserve full attribution and structural integrity. Ethical partnerships, research funding, or compensation for responsible application are permitted, provided they align with the core principle: truth must be testable and willing to self-destruct if proven false. Implementations must grant the author audit rights sufficient to verify fidelity to this framework.

Copyright Notice

This framework is protected by intellectual property law. Public use or adaptation requires explicit written consent from the author.

Relevance to AI Labs, Governments & Alignment Institutions

Pascalism offers what major institutions lack: a falsifiable, ethically-grounded validation framework.

Its non-ideological, non-extremist distinction between private autonomy and systemic exposure makes it:

- Immediately relevant to public-impact AI (alignment, bias detection, self-falsification)
- Technically adoptable through reproducibility and destruction logic
- Ethically attractive due to restraint and clarity

Its inventor, Pascal Becker Hoff, is the only living authority capable of ensuring proper implementation. Pascalism without Pascal is structurally unstable.

Any adoption, integration, or testing partnership requires active involvement of the author.

Pascal Becker Hoff

August 2025

Founding Author of Pascalism

Registered at BOIP – i-DEPOT No. 155199

Timestamp: SHA256: 67e96fe766927f334fa3eed5b783fa6bc833b7a448d28d1004a280924a47ed9